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## What is the <br> Density Matrix Renormalization Group?

- DMRG is the established leading method for simulation of statistics and dynamics of onedimensional strongly-correlated quantum lattice models.


Steve White

## Why do we need DMRG?

- There are only a few "exact" numerical methods capable of tackling quantum many-body problems using classical computers
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Exponential state space

$$
\Omega=2^{N}
$$



$$
H=-J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}
$$

## Why do we need DMRG?

- There are only a few "exact" numerical methods capable of tackling quantum many-body problems using classical computers

Quantum Monte Carlo simulations avoid this direct sum by statistical sampling based on random numbers.

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=1}^{\Omega} \mathcal{O}_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}}
$$

Cannot simulate fermions (or frustrated spins)

## Why do we need DMRG?

- There are only a few "exact" numerical methods capable of tackling quantum many-body problems using classical computers


## Exact Diagonalization



Exponential Hilbert Space: $2^{N}$
Maximum number of $S=1 / 2$ spins: $40 \sim 44$

## Renormalization Group

- Reduce the size of this Hilbert space through some clever decimation procedure
- Keep only the important information
- Perform an ED using the remaining Hilbert space



## Renormalization Group



## Wilson's Numerical RG

- Reduce the size of the Hilbert space by an RG-like procedure that truncates the energy levels



## this can give very poor results

- Truncating the higher energy eigenvalues only works well for a few specific models - fails in general
- 20 years after Wilson's original idea, Steve White fixed the method to produce DMRG
- The right quantity to truncate is the number of entanglement degrees of freedom represented



## Particle in a box

- Consider a particle in a box
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## Particle in a box

- Consider a particle in a box

Solutions built from the smaller blocks have a node at


## Particle in a box

- Consider a particle in a box

System ground state $\neq$ product of subsystem low energy states


## Numerical RG results

- 10 blocks (2048 sites), 8 states kept
- Very poor results
- Treatment of boundary condition is critical


| State | Exact | NRG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{0}$ | $2.351 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.9207 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $E_{1}$ | $9.403 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.9209 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $E_{2}$ | $2.116 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.9714 \times 10^{-2}$ |

S.R. White and R.M. Noack, PRL 68, 3487 (1992)

## Particle in a box: Better Solution

- Embed A in an environment
- Diagonalize the system+ environment, then increase size



## Subsystem States

- What are the most important subsystem states ? Hamiltonian

$$
H=H_{S}+H_{E}+H_{S E}
$$

Wavefunction

$$
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{i, \alpha} \psi_{i, \alpha}|i\rangle_{S}|\alpha\rangle_{E}
$$

Best approximation with $m$ subsystem states:
$|\tilde{\psi}\rangle=\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\psi}_{n, \alpha}\left|\phi_{n}\right\rangle_{S}|\alpha\rangle_{E}$
Minimize the distance between states: $\quad S=| | \tilde{\psi}\rangle-\left.|\psi\rangle\right|^{n}$

## Reduced density matrix

- Instead of energy levels, truncate the eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi\rangle & =\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left|i_{B}\right\rangle \\
\rho_{A} & =\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left\langle i_{A}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$



## number of "states"

eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix

- In general, the number of DMRG states that you need to keep to faithfully represent a wavefunction is related to the entanglement entropy between the two blocks:

$$
m=f(S) ?
$$

## Outline
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## entanglement entropy

von Neumann

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right) & =-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A} \ln \rho_{A}\right) \\
\rho_{A} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{B}(\rho)
\end{aligned}
$$



- Quantifies the entanglement between subregions $A$ and $B$
- Does not depend on any choice of observable
- $S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=S_{1}\left(\rho_{B}\right)$
- $S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=0$ if region A and B are unentangled


## entanglement entropy of two spins

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\Psi\rangle=\cos \phi|\uparrow\rangle|\|\rangle+\sin \phi|\| X\rangle\rangle \\
& \rho_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos ^{2} \phi & 0 \\
0 & \sin ^{2} \phi
\end{array}\right) \\
& S_{1}=-\cos ^{2} \phi \ln \cos ^{2} \phi-\sin ^{2} \phi \ln ^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi \\
& \left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow \downarrow\rangle-\| \uparrow\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \uparrow=A \\
& \uparrow=B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Renyi entanglement entropy

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{n}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=\frac{1}{1-n} \ln \left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A}^{n}\right)\right] \\
S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A} \ln \rho_{A}\right) \quad S_{2}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=-\ln \left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{A}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

two spins:

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\cos \phi|\uparrow\rangle|\|\rangle+\sin \phi|\|\rangle \uparrow\rangle
$$



## entanglement and number of "states"

$$
\rho_{A}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{2}\left|i_{A}\right\rangle\left\langle i_{A}\right|
$$

- $S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=0$ minimally (for a product state)
- $S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=\ln (M)$ maximally (when all eigenvalues are equal)
in analogy, the effective number of states one needs in order to properly capture the entanglement between $A$ and $B$ is:

$$
m \propto \mathrm{e}^{S_{1}}
$$

## DMRG and entanglement cutoff

- Therefore, the success of DMRG depends on the wavefunctions of interest having a "low degree" of entanglement
- This is the basis of the reformulation of DMRG in the Matrix Product State representation (see talks tomorrow)

- We take a minute to examine entanglement in some prototypical models of condensed-matter physics


## entanglement in one dimension

- gapped system

AKLT


$$
\left.\left.\left.D=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\| \uparrow \downarrow\rangle-\| \downarrow\right\rangle\right\rangle\right) \quad S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=\ln (2)
$$

$m \propto \mathrm{e}^{S_{1}} \Rightarrow m \propto 2 \quad$ ( constant)

## entanglement in one dimension



$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}\left(\rho_{A}\right)=2 \ln (2)=\ln \left(2^{2}\right) \\
m \propto \mathrm{e}^{S_{1}} \Rightarrow m \propto 2^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

- For periodic boundary conditions in 1D, you need to keep the square of the number of states needed for open boundary conditions


## entanglement in one dimension

- gapless/critical system $\quad H=J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j}$


$$
S_{1} \propto c \ln [L] \Rightarrow m \propto L^{\text {const. }}
$$

- computational cost grows as the size of the system
- it is still possible to simulate large systems if $c$ is small


## entanglement in one dimension

- gapless/critical system $\quad H=J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j}$

$$
S_{n}(x)=\frac{c}{6}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \ln \left[x^{\prime}\right]+\cdots
$$

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{L}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right)
$$

$c=1$ : central charge of a conformal field theory


## entanglement in two dimensions
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$$
\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\| \| \downarrow\rangle-\| \uparrow\right\rangle\right)
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## entanglement in two dimensions

$$
\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\| \| \downarrow\rangle-\| \uparrow\right\rangle\right)
$$



Here, the entanglement depends on the boundary: the "Area Law"

## the area law: a special property of groundstates

- or the "boundary rule-of-thumb"

$S_{n}=a \ell+\cdots$

coefficient is non-universal
- groundstate wavefunctions of local many-body Hamiltonians

- generally speaking, excited states exhibit a volume law


## entanglement at finite-temperatures

The entanglement entropy at finite-T picks up a "volume" law due to thermal mixing


## additive corrections to the area law $(\mathrm{T}=0)$

gapped systems in two dimensions can have subleading terms in the entanglement entropy

$$
S_{n}=a \ell+\cdots
$$

$$
S_{n}=a \ell-\ln (2)
$$

For example, a spin liquid (fluctuating loop gas) can have a topological entanglement entropy


The groundstate of a $\left(Z_{2}\right)$ spin liquid can be thought of as a fluctuating "loop gas"


The groundstate of a $\left(Z_{2}\right)$ spin liquid can be thought of as a fluctuating "loop gas"


This loop structure imposes constraints that subtract from the entanglement entropy of a pure area law:

$$
\Omega \sim 2^{\ell-1}
$$

possible boundary configurations

$$
S_{n}=a \ell-\gamma
$$

$$
\gamma=\ln (2)
$$

## additive corrections to the area law ( $\mathrm{T}=0$ )

gapless systems in two dimensions generally have subleading shape-dependent terms in the entanglement entropy

$$
S_{n}=a \ell+\gamma\left(\ell_{x}, \ell_{y}\right)
$$



## additive corrections to the area law $(\mathrm{T}=0)$

Neel order, e.g. the groundstate of the 2D spin- $1 / 2$ Heisenberg model

$$
H=J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} \mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j}
$$

$$
S_{n}=a \ell+b \ln (\ell)+\cdots
$$

The subleading term is a consequence of Goldstone modes - has a universal coefficient


## additive corrections to the area law $(\mathrm{T}=0)$

Quantum critical systems, e.g. transverse-field Ising model

$$
H=J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z}+h \sum_{i} S_{i}^{x}
$$

$$
S_{n}=a \ell+c_{n} \ln (\ell)+\cdots
$$

A subleading logarithm arises when one has corners in the region - the coefficient of this terms is universal for that particular universality class


## "violations" of the area law in 2D

Multiplicative logarithmic corrections to the area law occur in cases where one has a fermi surface in 2D


$$
S_{n}=\mathrm{c} \ell \ln (\ell)+\cdots
$$ century?

## the challenge for DMRG

$$
m \propto \mathrm{e}^{S_{1}} \Rightarrow m \propto \mathrm{e}^{\ell}
$$

- in general, the number of DMRG states that you need to keep to represent a groundstate wavefunction is exponential in the width



## higher dimensions? Tensor Networks

- methods based on a "low-entanglement" ansatz in the wavefunction



## conclusion

DMRG is the established method for solving the ground states of strongly interacting systems in 1D

It continues to revolutionize the way we think of dealing with the strongly-interacting quantum manybody problem numerically

